



**Windlesham Parish Council**

Sarah Walker  
 Clerk to the Council  
 Tel: 01276 471675  
 Email: sarah.walker@windleshampc.gov.uk  
 Website: [www.windleshampc.gov.uk](http://www.windleshampc.gov.uk)

The Council Offices  
 The Avenue  
 Lightwater  
 Surrey  
 GU18 5RG

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF WINDLESHAM PARISH COUNCIL'S PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**Held on Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> July 2020 at 6:30pm via Zoom videoconferencing**

Bagshot Cllrs		Lightwater Cllrs		Windlesham Cllrs	
Gordon	PA	Halovsky-Yu	A	Goodman	P
Trentham	P	Harris	P		
White	P				

**In the Chair:** Councillor Harris  
**In attendance:** Jo Whitfield – Assistant Clerk  
 Cllr Malcaus Cooper as substitute for Cllr Halovsky-Yu  
 Cllr Willgoss

P - present      A – apologies      PA – part of meeting      - no information

.....

**PLAN/20/22      Apologies for absence**

Apologies were received and accepted from Cllrs Halovsky-Yu.

**PLAN/20/23      Declarations of Interest**

No declarations of interest were made.

**PLAN/20/24      Public question time**

No public questions were raised.

**PLAN/20/25      Exclusion of the press and public.**

There were no matters to be dealt with after exclusion of the Press and Public.

**PLAN/20/26      To consider planning applications and planning appeals received prior to this meeting:**

*18:40 Cllr Gordon joined the meeting*

	<b>Windlesham Applications</b>	<b>Type</b>
20/0218/ FFU	<p><b>Windlesham Garden Centre (The Windlesham Gardener), London Road, Windlesham, Surrey, GU20 6LL</b></p> <p><b>REVISED:</b> Change of use of part of the existing garden centre from Use Class A1 to Use Class A3; demolition of part of the existing building, erection of a single storey glass house extension to be used for Use Class A3 purposes and designation of a smoking area with associated alterations (part retrospective).</p> <p><b>The Committee resolved that there was no more substantial additional information available and resolved to stand by their original comment that there was not enough information provided by the applicant to be able to make</b></p>	<i>FPA</i>

	<b>an assessment and comment on the proposals. It is understood the application has been called in to SHBC and there will be a request for further information to be provided.</b>	
20/0318/ RRM	<p><b>Heathpark Wood, East Of Heathpark Drive, Windlesham, Surrey</b> Reserved matters application for 120 dwellings and community facilities with associated landscaping, open space, car parking and access from Woodlands Lane and the provision of SANG with associated works (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale being considered) and submission of details to comply with conditions 5 (drainage strategy), 6 (ground investigation in part), 7 (greenfield runoff rates), 8 (surface water management) , 9 (programme of archaeological work), 15 (surface materials), 16 (visibility zones), 18 (travel plan), 19 (finished floor levels), 20 (tree reports), 21 (external lighting), 22 (badger method statement), 23 (landscape and ecological management), 25 (SANG management plan), 26 (bat survey), 27 (dormice survey), 28 (cycle and refuse storage areas), 29 (vehicle and cycle parking provisions) and 32 (sound attenuation) all pursuant to outline planning permission 15/0590 allowed on appeal dated 26 July 2017. Reserved Matters</p> <p><b>The Committee raised concerns over the following points:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. The Committee believes that condition 31, installation of a cycle path cannot be met. The proposed alternative mitigation is not suitable. The Committee felt that upgrading or refurbishment of existing informal crossings is the responsibility of highways and cannot be a mitigation. It is understood that the developer met with stakeholder and the developer indicated that they were happy to provide a zebra crossing. This Committee would support the installation of a zebra crossing if practical and would like this investigated.</b></li> <li><b>2. The Committee supported the concerns raised by Natural England regarding noise and pollution.</b></li> <li><b>3. The Committee expressed particular concerns on the point raised by Natural England regarding the legal issue of money for service charges, the enforcement, and how any shortfall will be met. It was noted that the same developer provided a SANGS in Bagshot and asked residents to maintain it. This issue is still unresolved, therefore this Committee does not support a residents maintained SANGS.</b></li> <li><b>4. The developers report does not demonstrate how there will be an improvement in sustainable travel. In particular there is no mention how they will increase bus services.</b></li> <li><b>5. The Committee noted that there is no mention of all houses having EV points and indicated that there should be compliance with the standard set out by SCC.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>The Committee also requests that SHBC officers give full consideration to all the points raised by the consultees and Heathpark Woods Group.</b></p>	RRM

**PLAN/20/27 Memorials and Inscriptions**

Memorials for Jemma Bolton, Helen Mears, Kathleen Jolliffe, Stephen Maybey, Jacqueline Champion and Marjorie and Brian Sillince **were approved.**

*19:04 Cllr Willgoss left the meeting*

**PLAN/20/28 Correspondence**

There was no correspondence to consider.

**There being no further business, the meeting closed at 19.10.**